FINE-TUNING A SILAGE PROGRAM ---- AND SAVING SOMEONE'S LIFE!









Ruthie Bolsen¹ and Keith K. Bolsen²

¹ Managing Director, Keith Bolsen PhD & Associates ² Professor Emeritus, Kansas State University ruthbolsen@me.com keithbolsen@hotmail.com









Silage Triangle

Crop Grower — Silage Contractor

`SHRINK LOSS' is TOO HIGH, TOO OFTEN!



How much of the 2013-2014 corn silage will livestock producers lose to `shrink'?

About 1.30 billion dollars!



Could be 600 million dollars!

What is the 'Market Value' of Corn Silage based on 'Shrink Loss' alone?

- $\$60.00 / \tan \div 95.0\% = \63.15
- \$60.00 / ton ÷ 90.0% = \$66.67
- $$60.00 / \text{ton} \div 85.0\% = 70.59
- \$60.00 / ton $\div 80.0\% = \$75.00$
- \$60.00 / ton ÷ 75.0% = \$80.00
- \$60.00 / ton ÷ 70.0% = \$85.71
 Forage In vs. Silage Out



Fine-tuning Silage Programs

1. Communicate & prepare

- 2. Reach an optimum silage density
- **3. Apply the best seal Silostop**
- 4. Manage the delivery





Associates

What can we learn from this PRODUCER? He had a MEETING! He had a plan!







Fine-tuning Silage Programs

- **1. Communicate & prepare**
- 2. Reach an optimum silage density
- **3. Apply the best seal Silostop**
- 4. Manage the delivery





Associates

Dry Matter Loss as Influenced by Silage Density: Adapted from Ruppel et al. (1995)



Keith Bolsen Ph.D. & Associates



Case Study Feedlot





Spreadsheet Calculations of the Average Corn Silage Densities in a Bunker Silo at a Case Study Feedlot.¹

Component	Keith Bolsen Ph.D. & Associates	Kansas Stete University	Predicted-135 1 packing	Predicted-135 2 packing
Bunker wall heig	ht, ft		12	12
Silage height abo	ve wall, ft		3	3
Forage delivery ra	ate, fresh tons/hr		135	135
Forage DM conte	nt, %		33.3	33.3
Est. forage packi	ng layer thickness	, inches	9 —	→ 5
1 tractor			55,000 (65) ²	55,000 (65) ²
	2 tractors			50,000 (85)
Estimated avg	. DM density, Il	bs/ft³	11.2	16.9
Estimated avg	. bulk density,	lbs/ft ³	33.6	50./

¹Values above the line are user inputs. ² Estimated packing time as % of filling time.

Spreadsheet Calculations of the Average Corn Silage Densities in a Bunker Silo at a Case Study Feedlot.¹

Component	Keith Bolsen Ph.D. & Associates	Kansas State University	Predicted-270 2 packing	Predicted-270 3 packing	
Bunker wall height	t, ft		12	12	
Silage height abov	e wall, ft		3	3	
Forage delivery rate, fresh tons/hr			270	270	
Forage DM content, %			33.3 33.3		
Est. forage packing layer thickness, inches		s, inches	7.5 —		
1 tractor			55,000 (70) ²	55,000 (70)	
slow warmen	2 tractors		50,000 (85)	50,000 (85)	
9.6 28.8		3 tractors		45,000 (95)	
Estimated avg. D	M density, lbs/	/ft³	11.8	15.6	
Estimated avg. fresh wt. density, lbs/ft ³			35.5	46.8	

¹ Values above the line are user inputs. ² Estimated packing time as % of filling time.

Fine-tuning Silage Programs

- 1. Communicate & prepare
- 2. Reach an optimum silage density
- **3. Apply the best seal Silostop**
- 4. Manage the delivery





Sealing/covering Research at K-State and Field Trials with Silostop















Richard Porter (farmer, rancher & cattle feeder) from Reading, KS. He was a loyal supporter of the K-State sealing and surface spoilage research program (1989 – 2000).
After Rich sealed his bunkers for the first time, his neighbors said, "Porter, you're crazy for covering those silage pits". Rich told them, "I can pay doctors and lawyers to cover my pits and make money"!





Keith Bolsen Ph.D. & Associates



Comparison of 6-mil black plastic & Silostop on pH, fermentation profile, estimated additional spoilage loss of OM, and ash content in corn silage (0 to 36 inches from the surface) and HM corn (0 to 18 inches from the surface) at approximately 240 days post-filling. From: Bolsen et al. (2006)

Keith Bolsen Ph.D. & Associates	Trial 1 - Corn silage 0 to 36 inches		Trial 2 - HM corn 0 to 18 inches	
Item	Std plastic	Silostop	Std plastic	Silostop
DM content, %	29.2	31.6	72.3	73.2
рН	4.28	3.78	4.70	4.09
Est. OM loss ^{1,2}	34.8	17.8	12.1	6.7
Advantage for Silostop		+ 17.0		+ 5.4
	% of the silage DM			
Lactic acid	2.7	6.8	0.86	1.08
Ash	11.2	9.1	2.13	1.98

¹ Estimated OM loss, calculated from ash content using equations by Bolsen et al. (1993).

² Ash content of the pre-ensiled samples was 7.6% for corn silage and 1.8% for HM corn.

Drive-over pile: 6 x 80 x 400 feet

4,000 to 4,600 tons (Depending on densities)

Corn silage = \$60/ton

Keith Bolsen Ph.D.

Sealing costs:

Standard plastic = \$5,000

Silostop = \$7,800

Net benefit for **Silostop** = **\$8,000** to **\$12,000**







Feedlot in the High Plains



16 x 75 x 60 x 750 ft = 21,000 tons Corn silage = \$60/ton

Lost in the top 3 feet: Unsealed = 2,125 tons vs. Sealed = 875 tons

- **Sealing cost = \$10,500**
- Net silage saved by sealing = \$64,000 / bunker silo
- Three bunkers = **\$192,000** that could be saved by sealing!!

Fine-tuning Silage Programs

- 1. Communicate & prepare
- 2. Reach an optimum silage density
- 3. Apply the best seal Silostop
- 4. Manage the delivery







Surface-spoiled Corn Silage Research at Kansas State



Whitlock et al. (2000)

'Slime' in the ration

- 0, 5.4, 10.7 & 16.0 %
- ✓ Depressed DM intake.
- ✓ Destroyed the forage mat in the rumen.





Reduced fiber digestibility dramatically.



NDF Digestibility



& Associates

Whitlock et al., 2000

So ... How much was 'feeding surface spoilage' costing this growing operation?

Keith Bolsen Ph.D. & Associates





Economic Impact of Creating and Feeding Surface-spoiled Corn Silage to Growing Cattle.¹

Keith Bolsen Ph.D. & Associates	Ration & silage management combination			
Item	Α	В	С	D
Surface spoilage in the ration, % (DM basis)	0	2.7	2.7	2.7
Corn silage NEg , Mcal per lb of DM	0.45	0.45	0.425	0.40
DM recovery, % of the crop ensiled	87.5	87.5	82.5	77.5
DM intake, lbs per day	17.0	16.5	16.5	16.5
ADG, lbs	2.25	2.12	2.00	1.87
DM per lb of gain, lbs	7.55	7.80	8.25	8.70
Silage per lb of gain, lbs as-fed ²	19.84	20.50	21.67	22.86
Gain per ton of crop ensiled, lbs	88.2	85.4	76.2	67.8
Lost gain per ton of crop ensiled, lbs		2.8	12.0	20.4
Value of gain lost per ton of crop ensiled, \$		3.92	16.80	28.56
¹ Assumes an average cattle weight of 650 lbs and a li	ve weight	price of \$1.	40 per po	und.

² Assumes silage is 87.5% of the ration (DM basis) and the silage is 33.3% DM.

THINK SAFETY FIRST



Keith Bolsen Ph.D. & Associates







"We have nothing to lose by practicing safety; but we have everything to lose by not practicing it."

Dennis Murphy, Extension Safety Specialist, The Pennsylvania State University, State College, PA

Don't do something STUPID!



Keith Bolsen Ph.D. & Associates



February 2010 | Hay & Forage Grower



Photo: by Hay and Forage

Keith Bolsen Ph.D. & Associates



Surviving A Silage Avalanche

By Fae Holin, Managing Editor



Photo: by Doug DeGroff

WMUR TV. Claremont, NH. Web site accessed August 21, 2010.



Take home message ...

It's really not about shrink loss, feed conversion, cost of gain, a close out, or milk over feed cost.

It's about sending everyone in your silage program home to their family safe ... EVERYDAY".



Thank You









Keith Bolsen Ph.D. & Associates

512-301-2281



keithbolsen@hotmail.com

ruthbolsen@me.com







