PS; 5 ug/ml) or calcium ionophore A23187 (1 ug/ml). The fatty acid profile of serum and sues of piglets was significantly effected by the fat source provided to the sow. Arachidonic acid (A=20:4n-6) levels were typically 2-3 fold lower (P < 0.0001) in FO vs. LA piglets. Levels of cosapentaenoic acid (EPA=20:5n-3), the major n-3 fatty acid, in the blood and tissues were 50 to 0 times greater (P < 0.0001) in FO vs. LA piglets. PGE2 production by AM was 70% lower in FO . LA pigs (1491 to 435 pg/0.1ml; P < 0.02) when stimulated with LPS for 24 hr. PGE2 production PEC was 50% lower in FO vs. LA pigs (1245 vs. 2902 pg/0.1ml; P < 0.08) when stimulated with 23187. In conclusion, substituting FO for LA in a sow's late-gestation and lactation diet greatly evates the content of n-3 fatty acids in the nursing pig and reduces the PGE2 production of imune cells.

CEY WORDS. Fish oil, immune tissues, prostaglandin E2

Quantitative influence of lysine and energy intake on yield of milk components in the lactating sow. M. D. Tokach*, J. E. Pettigrew, B. A. Crooker and A. F. Sower, University of Minnesota, St. Paul.

regression approach was utilized to quantitatively assess the influence of lysine (Lys) and sergy (ME) intake during lactation on yield of milk components, and to determine whether its influence is mediated through precursor metabolite concentrations in the blood. Twenty-ree primiparous Landrace x Yorkshire sows were fed corn-soybean meal diets to achieve a strix of Lys (15 to 45 g/d) and ME (6.5 to 16.5 Mcal/d) intakes. Milk yield was measured at 24 h period from d 8 to 9 and d 22 to 23 via deuterium dilution. Milk samples were ellected and analyzed for protein, fat, lactose and energy to determine total milk component cold/d. Mean values (SD) for each milk component on d 22 were: Yield 9,681 (1,268) g/d; cotain 487 (54) g/d; fat 603 (108) g/d; lactose 521 (77) g/d; and energy 10,333 (1,522) cal/d. Circulating levels of glucose, amino acids, triglycerides, nonesterified fatty acids adenosine triphosphate were also measured. Regression parameters and R² for each model codicting yield of milk components on d 22 from Lys and ME intake are listed in the table. In interaction (Lys*ME) was significant (P<.05) for all models except lactose, demonstrating lat Lys intake needed to maximize milk production increases markedly as ME intake increases. As and ME intake explained a smaller portion of the variability in milk component yield on 8 (R²<.51) than on d 22, evidence that dictary influences on milk production increases as intation progresses. Regression analyses revealed strong connections between diet and itabolite concentrations on d 22 (R²=.5 to .7), but no obvious relationships between

ME² Lys² Intercept Lys Lyo*ME R² Yield 6,803.6 73.67 -64.49 -4.37 -4.63 21.74 -.37 301.9 -12.39Protein 13.41 -.36 1.10 .78 -.39 660.3 7.68 Fat -53.53 2.05 .64 . 52 Lactose Energy 8,994.2 148.90 -500.47 29.19

, Feeding regimen during gestation affects feed intake and feeding behavior of primiparous sows during lactation. W. C. Weldon*, A. J. Lewis, G. F. Louis and M. A. Giesemann. University of ebraska, Lincoln.

he voluntary feed intake of primiparous sows during early lactation is frequently inadequate to support ilk production and prevent excessive weight loss. To investigate the relationship between feeding gimen during gestation and feed intake during lactation, 15 crossbred, primiparous sows were isigned to two dietary treatments. From d 60 of gestation to farrowing, the intake of eight sows was stricted to 1.85 kg/d (R) while seven sows were allowed to eat ad libitum (AL). During a 28-d ctation, litter size was standardized to 10 pigs, and all sows were given ad libitum access to feed. aily intake was measured throughout gestation and lactation, and on d 105 of gestation and d 1, 7, 4 and 21 of lactation the time and quantity of each meal consumed were recorded. During the istation period, total feed intake of R sows was less than that of AL sows (73.7 vs 148.8 kg; < .001), but feed intake of the AL group decreased quadratically (P < .01) as gestation progressed. Uring lactation, R sows ate more than AL sows (151.9 vs 79.2 kg; P < .001). Feed intake of both