571 The effects of poultry fat and choice white grease on pork longissimus muscle quality. J. J. Engel*, J. W. Smith II, J. A. Unruh, R. D. Goodband, M. D. Tokach, and J. L. Nelssen, Kansas State University, Manhattan.

Eighty-four crossbred gilts (initially 60.3 kg) were used to evaluate the effects of added fat in finishing pig diets on longissimus muscle quality (LM). Poultry fat (PF) or choice white grease (CWG) were added at 2, 4, and 6% to a corn-soybean meal based control diet. Pigs were slaughtered at 109 kg to evaluate longissimus quality traits. Pigs fed PF had greater cooking loss than those fed CWG (P<0.05). Increasing PF resulted in increased then decreasing cooking loss (quadratic P<0.05). Neither fat source or level influenced (P>0.05) other LM quality or sensory traits. These data indicate PF and CWG can be added to finishing pig diet with minimal affects on LM quality.

Item		Pou	Poultry Fat, %			Choice White Grease, %			
	Control	2	4	6	2	4	6	CV	
Visual color	2.50	2.46	2.46	2.45	2.57	2.67	2.34	16.1	
Visual firmness	2.86	2.66	2.81	2.66	2.83	3.02	2.80	16.2	
Visual marbling	2.61	2.40	2.53	2.20	2.44	2.56	2.33	26.4	
WHC(FPP), %c	37.04	35.83	38.68	37.32	36.77	38.96	6.69	13.4	
Drip loss, 24 h %	3.91	4.58	3.67	4.81	4.98	3.94	4.57	54.6	
Cooking loss, % ab	26.60	34.16	32.34	24.08	26.81	28.12	6.61	6.80	
Shear force, kg	4.13	3.99	4.99	4.14	4.14	4.06	4.21	32.60	
			Poult	ry Che	oice White				
		Cantagl	E 8	œ C	69		v		

	Control	Fat, 6%	Grease, 5%	CV
Flavor intensity	5.75	5.67	5.68	2.70
Juiciness	5.47	5.35	5.43	6.60
Overall tenderness	6 15	6.34	6.23	12.50
Connective tissue amount	6.96	7.04	6.87	6.80
Myofibrilar tenderness	6.02	6.12	6.04	13.70

^aPF vs CWG, P<0.05 ^bPF quadratic, P<0.05

Key Words: Pork, Longissimus Quality, Poultry Fat

572 The effects of poultry fat and choice white grease on pork belly quality and bacon sensory evaluation. J. J. Engel*, J. W. Smith II, J. A. Unruh, R. D. Goodband, and M. D. Tokach, Kansas State University, Manhattan.

Eighty-four crossbred gilts (initially 60.3 kg) were used to evaluate the effects of added fat in finishing pig diets on belly and baccn quality. Poultry fat (PF) or choice white grease (CWG) was added at 2, 4, and 6% to a corn-soybean meal based control diet. Pigs were slaughtered at 109 kg to evaluate belly and bacon quality. Increasing PF decreased, then increased belly lean Minolta a* and b* values (P<0.05). Increasing CWG increased and then decreased Minolta b* values (P<0.05). As PF increased, bacon slicing score decreased. Bacon from pigs fed PF had higher sensory panel "off flavor" scores (P<0.05) than those fed CWG. Slicing scores and off flavor scores for pigs fed PF were still within acceptable quality ranges. These data indicate that increasing levels of PF or CWG can be added to finishing pig diets with minimal influence on belly and bacon quality.

	Poultry Fat, %			Choice White Grease, %				
Item	Control	2	4	6	2	4	6	CV
Minolta L*	48.17	46.84	46.91	47.00	47.70	47.65	47.05	5.80
Minolta aa	22.35	19.94	19.91	21.23	19.81	20.69	20.12	11.50
Minolta bab	9.59	8.63	7.82	8.69	8.35	8.13	8.67	17.40
Slicing score ^c	3.94	3.66	3.50	3.06	3.26	3.24	3.32	27.2
Cooking loss, %	37.3	34.94	37.09	35.59	36.12	36.19	35.5	11.6
Shear force, kg	5.95	5.83	5.99	5.90	5.38	5.48	5.84	27.40
Aftertaste	3.94	3.77	4.19	3.88	3.81	3.86	3.75	12.7
Off flavor ^d	1.26	1.39	1.31	1.38	1.27	1.24	1.27	15.1
Saltiness	5.11	4.82	4.96	4.83	5.01	4.85	4.88	6.6
Flavor intensity	5.60	5.32	5.44	5.39	5.39	5.33	5.30	5.2
Brittleness	5.27	5.38	5.40	5.21	5.47	5.28	5.34	10.3

^aPF quadratic, P<0.05

Key Words: Pork, Quality, Poultry Fat

573 Effects of longissimus glycolytic potential or growth performance, carcass and meat quality character istics. K. D. Miller*², M. Ellis², F. K. McKeith², and E. R. Wilson¹ Pig Improvement Company, Franklin, KY, ² University of Illinois, Ur bana.

Animals (n=72) with glycolytic potential (GP) values ranging from 113.8 to 301.1 µmol/g of tissue, determined from live animal biops, samples from the longissimus, were classified as having low (n = 24) moderate (n = 24), and high (n = 24) GP levels (154.3, 196.8, 253 \pm 5.13 μ mol/g, respectively; P < .001). The three GP classification were compared for differences in growth performance, carcass, and mea quality characteristics. Growth and carcass traits were not different be tween GP classifications. However, meat quality measurements such a longissimus ultimate pH, subjective color score, longissimus Minolta L. values, and longissimus drip loss percent were significantly poorer for an imals classified as having either high or moderate GP levels compared to those with low GPs. Compared to low and moderate GP animals those with higher GP had significantly lower (P < .05) longissimus protein percent (24.1 vs. 23.8 vs. 23.3 for low, moderate; and high, respectively). Cooking loss was increased for high compared to low Gi animals with samples from those with moderate being intermediate bu not different (22.78 vs 21.13 vs 19.71; high, moderate, low, respectively P < .05). Taste panel tenderness (9.64 vs 8.72 vs 8.59; high, moderate low, respectively; P < .001) and juiciness (8.58 vs 7.80 vs 7.92; high moderate, low, respectively; P < .05) indicated better eating quality for high compared to low and moderate GP animals, These data indicathigher levels of GP, within the longissimus, has negative effects on water holding capacity, but positive effects on eating quality.

Key Words: Glycolytic potential, Meat quality, Longissimus

574 Regression relationships between longissimus gly colytic potential and growth performance, carcass and meat quality characteristics. K. D. Miller*², M. Ellis², F. K McKeith², and E. R. Wilson¹, ¹Pig Improvement Company, Franklin KY, ²University of Illinois, Urbana.

Glycolytic potential was determined on live-animal biopsy samples and post mortem samples taken from the longissimus of 72 pigs. The rela tionships between glycolytic potential and growth, carcass, and mea quality traits was investigated using regression analysis. Glycolytic po tential values ranged from 113.8 to 301.1 mol/g and 91.0 to 270.5 mol/s for biopsy and post mortem samples, respectively. Growth and carcas traits showed minimal relationships with glycolytic potential with only regression between glycolytic potential and last lumbar backfat thicknes (P < .05) and loin eye area (P < .05) being significant. However, mea quality measurements on the longissimus such as Minolta L* values, dru loss, ultimate pH, and cooking loss showed significant relationships with glycolytic potential determined on post mortem samples. (R2 values = .10, .19, .24, and .11; correlation coefficients =.32, .43, -.49, and .34 P < .01, P < .001, P < .001, and P < .01, respectively). However relationships between these traits and glycolytic potential values deter mined from biopsy samples were weaker with R2 values .06, .12, .10 and .06 and correlation coefficients .24, .34, -.31, and .25, respectively Significant regressions were also detected between longissimus proteir percent and glycolytic potential determined on both post mortem (f < .001) and live animal (P < .001) samples with a R2 values of 0.20 and 0.17 and correlation coefficients of -.45 and -.41, respectively. These data indicate that reducing longissimus glycolytic potential levels may enhance pig meat quality.

Key Words: Glycolytic Potential, Meat Quality, Longissimus

CFilter paper press (FPP)

^bCWG quadratic, P<0.05

^cPF linear, P<0.05 ^dPF vs CWG, P<0.05