**190** An evaluation of Peptone products on nursery pig performance. A. J. Myers<sup>\*1</sup>, M. D. Tokach<sup>1</sup>, R. D. Goodband<sup>1</sup>, S. S. Dritz<sup>1</sup>, J. M. DeRouchey<sup>1</sup>, J. L. Nelssen<sup>1</sup>, B. W. Ratliff<sup>2</sup>, D. M. McKilligan<sup>2</sup>, G. Xu<sup>3</sup>, J. Moline<sup>3</sup>, and M. Steidinger<sup>4</sup>, <sup>1</sup>Kansas State University, Manhattan, <sup>2</sup>Tech Mix Inc., Stewart, MN, <sup>3</sup>Midwest Ag Enterprises, Marshall, MN, <sup>4</sup>Swine Nutrition Service, Anchor, IL.

Two studies were conducted to evaluate Peptone products (PEP 2+, PEP-NS, and Peptone 50; Tech Mix Inc., Stewart, MN) on nursery pig performance. In Exp. 1, 360 weanling pigs (initially 5.4 kg) were used with 5 pigs per pen and 12 pens per treatment. There were 6 dietary treatments: a negative control diet containing 2.5% spray-dried animal plasma (SDAP) in phase 1 followed by no specialty protein sources in phase 2; 5% SDAP in phase 1 and 3% select menhaden fish meal (SMFM) in phase 2; 5% SDAP and 3% SMFM during phase 1 and 6% SMFM during phase 2; 5% SDAP and 3% PEP2+ during phase 1 and 6% PEP2+ during phase 2; 5% SDAP and 3% PEP-NS during phase 1 and 6% PEP-NS during phase 2; and 5% SDAP and 3% PEP 50 during phase 1 and 6% PEP50 during phase 2. Overall, pigs fed PEP2+, Peptone 50 and PEP-NS had increased (P < 0.05) ADG and ADFI compared with pigs fed the negative control diet with others intermediate. Pigs fed PEP2+ had improved (P < 0.05) G:F compared with all other treatments. In Exp. 2, 1,152 weanling pigs (initially 5.6 kg) were used to evaluate the effects of SMFM, poultry meal (PM), PEP2+, Peptone 50, and PEP-NS on pig performance. There were 6 dietary treatments: negative control diet containing 3% SDAP in phase 1 and no specialty protein sources in phase 2 or the negative control diet with 6% PM, PEP2+, Peptone 50, or PEP-NS. There were 6 pens per treatment with 32 pigs per pen. From d 0 to 21, pigs fed 6% SMFM, PM, PEP2+, or PEP-NS had improved (P < 0.05) ADG compared with pigs fed the negative control diet or 6% Peptone 50. Pigs fed 6% PEP-NS had improved (P < 0.05) ADG compared with pigs fed the negative control, 6% PM, or 6% Peptone 50. Pigs fed 6% SMFM, PM, PEP2+, or PEP-NS had improved (P < 0.05) G:F when compared with the negative control or 6% Peptone 50. These results suggest PEP2+ and PEP-NS can replace SMFM and PM in nursery pig diets.

## Table 1

	Negative	3%	6%	6%	6%	6%	
Item	Control	SMFM	SMFM	PEP2+	PEP-NS	Peptone 50	SEM
Exp. 1, d 0 to 21							
ADG, g	251°	259 <sup>bc</sup>	266 <sup>bc</sup>	298 <sup>a</sup>	277 <sup>b</sup>	279 <sup>ab</sup>	14
G:F	0.780 <sup>a</sup>	0.778 <sup>a</sup>	0.797 <sup>a</sup>	0.834 <sup>b</sup>	0.784 <sup>a</sup>	0.775 <sup>a</sup>	0.011
Exp. 2, d 0 to 21							
	Negative	6%	6%	6%	6%	6%	
	control	SMFM	PM	PEP2+	PEP-NS	Peptone 50	SEM
ADG, g	199 <sup>a</sup>	242 <sup>bc</sup>	230 <sup>b</sup>	247 <sup>bc</sup>	256 <sup>c</sup>	197 <sup>a</sup>	9
G:F	0.693 <sup>a</sup>	0.706 <sup>bd</sup>	0.713 <sup>bc</sup>	$0.715^{bc}$	0.735 <sup>c</sup>	0.665 <sup>a</sup>	0.015
-		÷	•				

<sup>abc</sup>Within a row, means without a common superscript differ P < 0.05.

Key Words: nursery pig, fish meal, Peptone

**191** Effects of Liquitein on weanling pigs administered a porcine circovirus type 2 (PCV2) and *Mycoplasma hyopneumoniae* (M.hyo) vaccine strategy. A. J. Myers<sup>\*1</sup>, M. D. Tokach<sup>1</sup>, R. D. Goodband<sup>1</sup>, S. S. Dritz<sup>1</sup>, J. M. DeRouchey<sup>1</sup>, J. L. Nelssen<sup>1</sup>, B. W. Ratliff<sup>2</sup>, D. M. McKilligan<sup>2</sup>, G. Xu<sup>3</sup>, and J. Moline<sup>3</sup>, <sup>1</sup>Kansas State University, Manhattan, <sup>2</sup>Tech Mix Inc., Stewart, MN, <sup>3</sup>Midwest Ag Enterprises, Marshall, MN.

A total of 180 nursery pigs (PIC 1050, initially 5.7 kg BW and 21 d of age) were used in a 35-d study to determine the effects of Liquitein and a PCV2 and M. hyo vaccine regimen on the growth performance of weanling pigs. Liquitein is a liquid source of nutrients provided through the water lines at a ratio of 1:50. Pigs were transported approximately 7 h (623 km) from the sow farm to the nursery and then randomly allotted to 1 of 4 treatments arranged in a  $2 \times 2$  factorial with main effects of Liquitein (with or without) and PCV2 and M. hyo vaccine regimen (vaccinates or non-vaccinates). There were 5 pigs per pen and 9 pens per treatment. On d 0, pigs in the vaccinate group were given a full dose (2 mL) of each ResprisureOne (Pfizer Animal Health) and Circumvent (Intervet/Schering-Plough Animal Health, Millsboro, DE). On d 21, pigs in the vaccinate group were administered a second full dose (2 mL) of Circumvent as per label instructions. Liquitein was administered to the pigs via water medicators for the first 5 d after arrival to the nursery. There were no vaccine × Liquitein interactions (P > 0.05) for any response criteria. From d 21 to 35, pigs previously administered Liquitein had greater ADFI (P = 0.05) than those not provided Liquitein. However, overall (d 0 to 35) there were no effects of Liquitein on growth performance. From d 0 to 35, vaccinated pigs had decreased (P < 0.01) ADG and ADFI compared with non-vaccinated pigs. These results suggest that under these experimental conditions, administering Liquitein during the first 5 d in the nursery did not have any effect on growth performance; however, pigs administered the vaccine regimen had decreased ADG and ADFI.

Table 1. Effects of Liquiten and PCV2/M.*hyo* vaccine strategy on nursery pig performance

	Liquitein		No Liquitein		_		
	Non- vaccinate	Vaccin- ates	Non- vaccinate	Vaccin- ates		Vaccine	Liquitein
d 0 to 5							
ADG, g	175	160	162	135	12	0.07	0.11
G:F	1.674	1.599	1.489	1.529	0.09	0.85	0.18
d 0 to 35							
ADG, g	370	330	354	320	11	<0.11	0.21
G:F	0.671	0.666	0.669	0.664	0.02	0.76	0.88

Key Words: liquid supplement, PCV2, pigs