

Lactation

Milk production requires the greatest proportion of nutrients during lactation. Thus, maximizing feed intake and adequate dietary amino acids will prevent sow body reserve mobilization and sustain milk production for litter growth. This factsheet will discuss energy, amino acid, and vitamin and mineral recommendations for the lactating sow.

Energy

Genetic selection has dramatically increased litter size and milk production in sows. This has resulted in increased body energy demands. Furthermore, the modern prolific sow is characterized with having less body fat reserves, which are necessary to buffer energy needs not met by feed intake (Lewis and Bunter, 2011). When feed intake is lower than body energy demand, the sow will mobilize body stores from fat and muscle to sustain milk production. Restricted feeding, intentionally or unintentionally, will result in greater sow body tissue mobilization which causes excessive weight loss, less milk production, and reduced litter growth rate (De Bettio et al., 2016). Implementation of ad libitum feeding systems in farrowing houses have enhanced lactation feed intake and many producers in the US have adopted this technology.

Determining Energy Requirement

Maternal maintenance and milk production are the determining factors for the energy requirements of lactating sows. Similar to gestating sows, maintenance requirements can be expressed as $100 \times BW^{0.75}$ with bodyweight in kg (NRC, 2012). Maintenance energy requirements represent a relatively small contribution to the total energy requirement for lactating sows. This is because milk production represents 65 to 80% of the total energy requirement (Figure 1). The NE requirements for maintenance of lactating sows stays constant over lactation. Milk production, however, increases threefold within the first week of farrowing and demands are dictated by litter size and litter growth rate. Thus, large litter sizes and high litter growth rates will increase the energy requirement for milk production. Net energy intake for sows will increase as lactation progresses, but often will not meet the combined energy requirement for maintenance and milk production. Sows will mobilize body reserves to meet requirements (Table 1; Pedersen et al., 2019). The negative energy balance results in weight loss and excessive weight loss during lactation can lead to

negative effects on subsequent reproductive performance (Thaker and Bilkei, 2005). Finally, gilts will have approximately 15% lower daily feed intake compared to older parity sows (Strathe et al., 2017). Therefore, maximizing gilt lactation feed intake is critical.

Energy Level and Source

Energy concentration in lactation diets will have an effect on total feed intake (Strathe et al, 2017). Increasing the energy concentration of the diet can increase energy intake, reduce weight loss, and increase litter growth rate at the same feed intake (Xue et al., 2012). However, a dietary energy concentration level is reached at which feed intake can be negatively affected (Xue et al., 2012). To achieve greater energy density in the diet, fats and oils are commonly used as they are a [highly digestible energy](#) source for sows. The additional energy from dietary fat is partitioned for milk and converted as milk fat output (Rosero et al., 2015). Addition of fats and oils to the diet have been shown to improve energy intake, milk fat output and improve litter growth rate (Rosero et al., 2016).

Dietary fat source quality should be considered. Addition of [fats](#) with high free fatty acids and peroxide profiles could be less digestible and more susceptible to oxidation. Furthermore, addition of high fiber ingredients lowers the energy density and increases the bulk density of the diet. However, feeding moderate levels of fiber in lactation is a strategy that can be employed in an effort to decrease feed costs.

In summary, if high quality fat is available, it is often recommended that corn-soybean meal lactation diets with 2 to 4% added fat be formulated to provide between approximately 1,120 and 1,200 kcal NE/lb to increase energy intake without adversely affecting feed intake.

Essential Fatty Acids

Linoleic and α -linolenic acid are classified as nutritionally essential fatty acids (EFA) because they cannot be synthesized by mammals. They can serve as precursors for other important polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) such as eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA), docosahexaenoic acid (DHA), and arachidonic acid (ARA) (Bontempo and Jiang, 2015). Linoleic and α -linolenic acid can be found in grain and vegetable oils, such as soybean and corn oil, while EPA and DHA are mainly found in fish oils. Addition of EFAs to lactating sow diets has shown

improvements in litter performance and subsequent sow reproductive performance and played a role in stimulating an immune response in piglets (Farmer et al. 2010; Rosero et al., 2016). Therefore, the amount of essential fatty acids in the diet is important as sows will secrete EFAs in the milk. Research is still limited on the minimal total EFA provided from ingredients that improves lactation and subsequent reproductive performance. Rosero et al. (2016) recommends that sows consume 125 g/d of linoleic and 10 g/d of linolenic fat during lactation. Ingredients containing high levels of these EFAs (flax seed oil, corn oil, soybean oil and menhaden oil) can substantially increase diet costs. Therefore, nutritionists need to balance the cost of meeting minimal EFA levels with the potential benefits in reproductive performance.

Amino Acids

Milk protein synthesis constitutes approximately 70% of lactating sow amino acid requirements (Pedersen et al., 2019). Therefore, the number of pigs nursing in the litter and the litter growth rate will dictate the amino acid requirements of lactating sows (Table 2). It has been established that a balanced supply of amino acids close to the requirements is needed as this improves milk protein output and litter performance as well as reduces protein mobilization from tissues (Gourley et al., 2017; Strathe et al., 2017; Pederson et al., 2019).

Amino Acid Requirements

Lysine is the first limiting amino acid in most diets and lactating sows with larger and faster growing litters will require greater amounts of daily lysine to meet their needs. Previous research demonstrated that excessive weight loss and mobilization of body reserves during lactation impaired subsequent reproductive performance (Thaker and Bilkei, 2005). (Xue et al., 2012; Shi et al., 2015; Gourley et al., 2017). However, recent studies have shown inconclusive results on the influence of lysine intake on subsequent reproductive performance (Shi et al., 2015; Gourley et al., 2017). The equivocal results with reducing body reserve mobilization by increasing lysine intake could be due to the modern lactating sow having more protein reserves and being more resilient to the negative effects of body reserve mobilization from reduced feed intake (Patterson et al., 2011).

A sow lactation research summary using published data from 1972 to 1997 determined that approximately 11 g/ SID lysine intake per day was needed for each 1 lb increase in litter growth rate (Boyd et al., 2000). A more recent review has updated this regression analysis to include sows from 1998 to 2017 and determined that approximately 60 g/ SID lysine intake per day is needed to

optimize litter growth rate for most sows (Figure 2; Tokach et al., 2019).

There is limited research directly measuring milk production or litter responses to other amino acids as compared to lysine (Fan et al., 2016; Greiner et al., 2017; Xu et al., 2017). After lysine levels are set, other amino acids relative to lysine can be calculated using the amino acid profile in milk and mammary tissue as well as the dynamic nature of body tissue mobilization during lactation (Kim et al., 2001). Amino acid recommendations for lactating sows are provided (Table 3).

Protein and Amino Acid Sources

The increased availability of feed-grade amino acids has allowed producers to reduce diet costs by including feed-grade amino acids to replace soybean meal in the diet while meeting amino acid requirements. Additionally, including more than 30% soybean meal in the diet can lead to reductions in feed intake (Gourley et al., 2019). Conversely, some research has observed decreases in litter growth rate based on parity and increases in preweaning mortality with increasing amounts of feed-grade amino acids in the diet (Touchette et al., 1998; Greiner et al., 2018). Current research indicates that lactation diets should be formulated with a minimum dietary digestible protein of 14% (approximately 16% crude protein; Strathe et al., 2017).

Vitamins and Minerals

Ca and P

The Ca and P requirements for lactating sows are largely determined by milk production and output of Ca and P in the milk (Bikker and Blok, 2017). With larger litter sizes and faster litter growth rates, calcium and phosphorus requirements will increase considerably throughout lactation to support the demand in milk production (Table 4). Gilts and younger parity sows will have greater needs to support maternal growth and development as they have not yet reached mature size (NRC, 2012). Also, gilts and younger parity sows may require greater Ca and P requirements as they potentially could have smaller mineral reserves for body mobilization compared to older parity sows (NRC, 2012). Limited data exists on Ca and P requirements for lactating sows and the mobilization of body reserves makes these requirements more difficult to estimate (Table 5).

Vitamins and Trace Minerals

Similar to gestating sows, trace mineral and additional [vitamin](#) supplementation is provided through a premix

and a sow add pack or a vitamin premix designed for sows only.

References

- Bikker, P., and M. Blok. 2017. Phosphorus and calcium requirements of growing pigs and sows. Wageningen Livestock Research Wageningen, The Netherlands. CVB Document Report. 59. doi:10.18174/424780
- Bontempo, V. and X.R. Jiang. 2001. Feeding various fat sources to sows: effects on immune status and performance of sows and piglets. In: C. Farmer, editor, *The gestating and lactating sow*. Wageningen Academic publishers, The Netherlands. p. 357– 375
- Boyd, R.D., K.J. Touchette, G.C. Castro, M.E. Johnston, K.U. Lee, and I.K. Han. 2000. Recent advances in amino acid and energy nutrition of prolific sows-review. *Asian Australian Journal of Animal Science*.13:1638-1652. doi:10.5713/ajas.2000.1638
- De Bettio, S., A. Maiorka, L. N. E. Barrilli, R. Bergsma, and B. A. N. Silva. 2016. Impact of feed restriction on the performance of highly prolific lactating sows and its effect on the subsequent lactation. *Animal*. 10:396-402. doi:10.1017/S1751731115002001
- Fan, Z.Y., X.J. Yang, J. Kim, D. Menon, and S.K. Baidoo. 2016. Effects of dietary tryptophan: lysine ratio on the reproductive performance of primiparous and multiparous lactating sows. *Animal Reproductive Science*. 170:128-134. doi:10.1016/j.anireprosci.2016.05.001
- Farmer, C., A. Giguère, and M. Lessard. 2010. Dietary supplementation with different forms of flax in late gestation and lactation: Effects on sow and litter performances, endocrinology, and immune response. *Journal of Animal Science*. 88:225-237. doi:10.2527/jas.2009-2023
- Gourley, K.M., G.E. Nichols, J.A. Sonderman, Z.T. Spencer, J.C. Woodworth, M.D. Tokach, J.M. DeRouchey, S.S. Dritz, R.D. Goodband, S.J. Kitt, and E.W. Stephenson. 2017. Determining the impact of increasing standardized ileal digestible lysine for primiparous and multiparous sows during lactation. *Translational Animal Science*. 1:426-436. doi:10.2527/tas2017.0043
- Gourley, K.M., J.C. Woodworth, J.M. DeRouchey, M.D. Tokach, S.S. Dritz, and R.D. Goodband. 2019. Effects of soybean meal concentration in lactating sow diets on sow and litter performance. (In press).
- Greiner, L., P. Srichana, J.L. Usry, C. Neill, G.L. Allee, J. Connor, K.J. Touchette, and C.D. Knight. 2018. The use of feed-grade amino acids in lactating sow diets. *Journal of Animal Science and Biotechnology*. 9:3. doi:10.1186/s40104-017-0223-z
- Kim, S.W., D. H. Baker, and R.A. Easter. 2001. Dynamic ideal protein and limiting amino acids for lactating sows: the impact of amino acid mobilization. *Journal of Animal Science*. 79(9), pp.2356-2366. doi:10.2527/2001.7992356x
- Lewis, C.R.G. and K.L. Bunter, K.L. 2011. Body development in sows, feed intake and maternal capacity. Part 1: performance, pre-breeding and lactation feed intake traits of primiparous sows. *Animal*. 5:1843-1854. doi:10.1017/S1751731111001121
- National Research Council (NRC) 2012. *Nutrient requirements of swine, 11th revised edition*. National Academy Press, Washington, DC, USA.
- Patterson, J.L., M.N. Smit, S. Novak, A.P. Wellen, and G.R. Foxcroft. 2011. Restricted feed intake in lactating primiparous sows. I. Effects on sow metabolic state and subsequent reproductive performance. *Reproduction, Fertility and Development*. 23:889-898. doi:10.1071/RD11015
- Pedersen, T.F., C.Y. Chang, N.L. Trottier, T.S. Bruun, and P.K. Theil. 2019. Effect of dietary protein intake on energy utilization and feed efficiency of lactating sows. *Journal of Animal Science*. 97:779-793. doi:10.1017/S1751731119001253
- Rosero, D. S., J. Odle, S. M. Mendoza, R. D. Boyd, V. Fellner, and E. V. Heugten. 2015. Impact of dietary lipids on sow milk composition and balance of essential fatty acids during lactation in prolific sows. *Journal of Animal Science*. 93:2935-2947. doi:10.2527/jas.2014-8529
- Rosero, D. S., R. D. Boyd, J. Odle, and E. V. Heugten. 2016. Optimizing dietary lipid use to improve essential fatty acid status and reproductive performance of the modern lactating sow: a review. *Journal of Animal Science and Biotechnology*. 7:34. doi:10.1186/s40104-016-0092-x
- Rosero, D.S., R.D. Boyd, M. McCulley, J. Odle, J. and E. V. Heugten. 2016. Essential fatty acid supplementation during lactation is required to maximize the subsequent reproductive performance of the modern sow. *Animal Reproductive Science*. 168:151-163. doi:10.1016/j.anireprosci.2016.03.010
- Shi, M., J. Zang, Z. Li, C. Shi, L. Liu, Z., Zhu, and D. Li. 2015. Estimation of the optimal standardized ileal digestible lysine requirement for primiparous lactating sows fed diets supplemented with crystalline amino acids. *Animal Science Journal*. 86:891-896. doi:10.1111/asj.12377
- Strathe, A.V., T.S. Bruun, N. Geertsen, J.E. Zerrahn, and C.F. Hansen. 2017. Increased dietary protein levels during lactation improved sow and litter performance. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*. 232:169-181. doi:10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2017.08.015
- Strathe, A. V., T. S. Bruun, and C. F. Hansen. 2017. Sows with high milk production had both a high feed intake and high body mobilization. *Animal*. 11:1913-1921. doi:10.1017/S1751731117000155
- Thaker, M. Y. C., and G. Bilkei. 2005. Lactation weight loss influences subsequent reproductive performance of sows. *Animal Reproductive Science*. 88:309-318. doi:10.1016/j.anireprosci.2004.10.001
- Tokach, M. D., M. B. Menegat, K. M. Gourley, and R. D. Goodband. 2019. Nutrient requirements of the modern high-producing lactating sow, with an emphasis on amino acid requirements. *Animal*. 1-11. doi:10.1017/S1751731119001253
- Touchette, K. J., G. L. Allee, M. D. Newcomb, and R. D. Boyd. 1998. The use of synthetic lysine in the diet of lactating sows. *Journal of Animal Science*. 76:1437-1442. doi:10.2527/1998.7651437x
- Xue, L., X. Piao, D. Li, P. Li, R. Zhang, S.W. Kim, and B. Dong. 2012. The effect of the ratio of standardized ileal digestible lysine to metabolizable energy on growth performance, blood metabolites and hormones of lactating sows. *Journal of Animal Science and Biotechnology*. 3:11. doi:10.1186/2049-1891-3-11
- Xu, Y., Z. Zeng, X. Xu, Q. Tian, X. Ma, S. Long, M. Piao, Z. Cheng, and X. Piao. 2017. Effects of the standardized ileal digestible valine: lysine ratio on performance, milk composition

and plasma indices of lactating sows. *Animal Science Journal*.
88:1082-1092. doi:10.1111/asj.12753




Figure 1. Estimated metabolizable energy (ME) requirements for maintenance and milk production and expected energy intake of lactating sows. Estimates were derived from the NRC (2012) assuming a litter size of 11.5 piglets and litter gain of 5.9 lb/d in a 21-d lactation period for multiparous sows.




Figure 2. Relationship between dietary lysine intake and litter growth rate (Tokach et al., 2019).

Table 1. Daily milk production and mobilization of body reserves of lactating sows based on litter size and weaning weight¹

| | Piglets per litter, n: | | | |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| | 10 | 12 | 14 | 16 |
| Piglet weaning weight, lb: | 16 | 15 | 14 | 13 |
| Milk production, lb/d | 19 | 23 | 25 | 26 |
| Sow body weight gain, lb/d | -0.45 | -1.40 | -2.02 | -2.13 |
| Sow body protein deposition, lb/d | -0.05 | -0.14 | -0.20 | -0.21 |
| Sow body fat deposition, lb/d | -0.23 | -0.70 | -1.00 | -1.06 |

¹Estimates derived from the NRC (2012) model assuming a feeding level of 14 lb/d of a lactation diet containing 1,122 kcal NE/lb in a 21-d lactation for multiparous sows.

Table 2. Daily SID lysine requirement (g/day) estimates of lactating sows based on litter size and weaning weight

| Piglet weaning weight, lb | Piglets per litter, n | | | |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|----|----|----|
| | 10 | 12 | 14 | 16 |
| 13 | 44 | 48 | 53 | 58 |
| 14 | 45 | 50 | 55 | 61 |
| 15 | 47 | 52 | 58 | 63 |

¹Estimates derived from the NRC (2012) model assuming a feeding level of 14 lb/d of a lactation diet containing 1,122 kcal NE/lb in a 21-d lactation for multiparous sows. For primiparous sows, the lysine requirements in grams per day are approximately 5% lower due to lower milk production but approximately 5% higher as a diet percentage due to lower feed intake.

Table 3. Suggested minimum standardized ileal digestible lysine and amino acid to lysine ratios for lactating sows

| | |
|--|-------|
| SID amino acids ¹ | |
| Lysine, % ² | 1.05 |
| Amino acid to lysine ratio, % ³ | |
| Methionine | 28-29 |
| Methionine + Cysteine | 53-54 |
| Threonine | 63-64 |
| Tryptophan | 19-21 |
| Isoleucine | 56 |
| Valine | 64-70 |

¹Minimum levels based on the NRC (2012) ingredient loading values.

²Minimum lysine levels assuming a feeding level of 14 lb/d of a lactation diet containing 1,122 kcal NE/lb in a 21-d lactation for primiparous sows weaning 14 pigs.

³Data on amino acid requirements for contemporary sows is limited.

Table 4. Daily phosphorous estimates (g of STTD P/d) of lactating sows based on litter size and weaning weight¹

| Piglet weaning weight, lb | Piglets per litter, n | | | |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|----|----|----|
| | 10 | 12 | 14 | 16 |
| 13 | 44 | 48 | 53 | 58 |
| 14 | 45 | 50 | 55 | 61 |
| 15 | 47 | 52 | 58 | 63 |

¹Estimates derived from the NRC (2012) model assuming a feeding level of 14 lb/d of a lactation diet containing 1,122 kcal NE/lb in a 21-d lactation for multiparous sows. For primiparous sows, the phosphorus requirements in grams per day are approximately 5% higher as a diet percentage due to lower feed intake. Total calcium intake is estimated at 2x of the digestible phosphorus requirement.

Table 5. Vitamin and mineral recommendations for lactating sows¹

| | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|
| Total calcium, % | 0.80 |
| Available phosphorous, % | 0.45 |
| STTD phosphorus, % | 0.50 |
| STTD calcium, % | 0.59 |
| Ca:STTD P | 1.60 |
| STTD Ca:STTD P | 1.18 |
| Vitamins² | |
| Vit A, IU/ton | 7,500,000 |
| Vit D, IU/ton | 1,500,000 |
| Vit E, IU/ton | 60,000 |
| Vit K (menadione), mg/ton | 3,000 |
| Vit B12, mg/ton | 30 |
| Niacin, mg/ton | 45,000 |
| Pantothenic Acid, mg/ton | 25,000 |
| Riboflavin, mg/ton | 7,500 |
| Biotin, mg/ton | 200 |
| Folic acid, mg/ton | 2,000 |
| Pyridoxine, mg/ton | 900 |
| Choline, mg/ton | 500,000 |
| Carnitine, mg/ton | 45,000 |
| Trace Minerals² | |
| Zinc, ppm | 110 |
| Iron, ppm | 110 |
| Manganese, ppm | 33 |
| Copper, ppm | 17 |
| Iodine, ppm | 0.30 |
| Selenium, ppm | 0.30 |
| Chromium, ppb | 198 |

¹Ca and P recommendations for lactating sows based on a 1,120 kcal of NE/lb diet.

²Added levels based on KSU vitamin, sow add pack, and trace mineral premixes.